

Discovery of a unique Ig heavy-chain isotype (IgT) in rainbow trout: Implications for a distinctive B cell developmental pathway in teleost fish

John D. Hansen^{*†}, Eric D. Landis[‡], and Ruth B. Phillips[§]

^{*}Western Fisheries Research Center, Biological Resources Division and Department of Pathobiology, U.S. Geological Survey and University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98115; [‡]Molecular and Cell Biology Program, University of Maryland, Baltimore, MD 21201; and [§]School of Biological Sciences, Washington State University, Vancouver, WA 98686

Edited by Max D. Cooper, University of Alabama, Birmingham, AL, and approved March 15, 2005 (received for review January 3, 2005)

During the analysis of Ig superfamily members within the available rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) EST gene index, we identified a unique Ig heavy-chain (IgH) isotype. cDNAs encoding this isotype are composed of a typical IgH leader sequence and a VDJ rearranged segment followed by four Ig superfamily C-1 domains represented as either membrane-bound or secretory versions. Because teleost fish were previously thought to encode and express only two IgH isotypes (IgM and IgD) for their humoral immune repertoire, we isolated all three cDNA isotypes from a single homozygous trout (OSU-142) to confirm that all three are indeed independent isotypes. Bioinformatic and phylogenetic analysis indicates that this previously undescribed divergent isotype is restricted to bony fish, thus we have named this isotype "IgT" (τ) for teleost fish. Genomic sequence analysis of an OSU-142 bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) clone positive for all three IgH isotypes revealed that IgT utilizes the standard rainbow trout V_H families, but surprisingly, the IgT isotype possesses its own exclusive set of D_H and J_H elements for the generation of diversity. The IgT D and J segments and τ constant (C) region genes are located upstream of the D and J elements for IgM, representing a genomic IgH architecture that has not been observed in any other vertebrate class. All three isotypes are primarily expressed in the spleen and pronephros (bone marrow equivalent), and ontogenically, expression of IgT is present 4 d before hatching in developing embryos.

development | immunoglobulin

A key hallmark of the vertebrate adaptive immune system is the generation of antigen-specific antibodies from B cells through the process of V(D)J recombination. This process is restricted solely to gnathostomes (jawed vertebrates); no evidence for either antibody gene fragments or the VDJ-recombinase machinery has been identified in agnathan fish (lampreys and hagfish) (1, 2). The specific effector function (complement fixation, recognition by phagocytic cells, and secretion in mucosal tissues) depends on the nature of the isotype constant (C) region. In mammals, there are five Ig isotypes that possess distinct effector functions for secretory immunity. IgM is the only antibody isotype found universally in gnathostomes, and until 1997, teleosts (bony fish) were thought to possess only IgM; however, research on catfish (3) and, later, on Atlantic salmon (4) provided evidence for the existence of IgD in teleosts. The genomic location of the teleost delta gene (δ) immediately downstream of μ , coupled with modest sequence identity to mammalian δ and coexpression of IgM and IgD in catfish B cell lines, solidified the relationship of teleost IgD to that of mammals. Expression of teleost IgD, like that of mammals, is achieved by alternative splicing from the rearranged VDJ gene to the C _{δ} genes, with the exception that the teleost IgD message retains the first exon of C _{μ 1}, thus forming a chimeric antibody isotype. After the discovery of Ig heavy-chain (IgH) genes in elasmobranchs [IgW and nurse shark new antigen receptor (NAR)] and, later,

the teleost δ gene, researchers have continually speculated on the primordial origins of antibody isotypes (5–7). In this article, we describe the isolation and characterization of a teleost IgH (IgT) isotype from rainbow trout that shares some properties with other vertebrate IgH isotypes, but the gene itself occupies a position within the trout IgH locus that has not been described in any gnathostome.

Materials and Methods

Fish. Rainbow trout [*Oncorhynchus mykiss* (*Onmy*), Clear Springs Foods, Buhl, ID] were maintained at 12°C by using standard biofiltration systems. OSU-142 and Hot Creek homozygous trout (8) were provided by Gary Thorgaard (Washington State University).

Primers. For the PCR primers used in this study, see Table 1, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site.

cDNA and Bacterial Artificial Chromosome (BAC) Library Screening. An OSU-142 splenic ZAP Express (Stratagene cDNA library was screened (1.2×10^6 plaque-forming units) sequentially with specific probes as listed in Table 1. Positive clones were first analyzed by PCR with C _{μ} , C _{δ} , and C _{τ} intron-spanning primer sets on which positive clones were fully sequenced. The OSU-142 4.5X genomic BAC library (9) was screened by using [³²P]dCTP-labeled single-exon probes for C _{μ 4}, C _{δ 7}, and C _{τ 4} under stringent conditions. BAC-clone minipreps were used as templates for PCR using the single-exon primer sets with OSU-142 genomic DNA (100 ng) serving as a positive control to assess the gene content.

BAC Sequencing and Annotation. OnmyBAC-IgH.1 was processed by using the Qiagen Large Construct kit for the construction of a BAC DNA shotgun library. BAC DNA was sheared into 1- to 3-kbp fragments, subcloned into pBSK⁺, sequenced to nine times coverage, and assembled by using the PHRED-PHRAP-CONSEDS software package (10, 11). Only Phred values of >20 were used for the assembly. The BAC clone was annotated by using GenScan (<http://genes.mit.edu/GENSCAN.html>) in combination with manual sequence analysis (MACVECTOR, Accelrys, San Diego).

Physical Mapping of the Trout IgH Regions. *In situ* chromosomal hybridization and karyotyping procedures have been described in ref. 9.

This paper was submitted directly (Track II) to the PNAS office.

Abbreviations: BAC, bacterial artificial chromosome; IgH, immunoglobulin heavy chain; *Onmy*, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*; NAR, new antigen receptor.

Data deposition: cDNA and BAC sequences reported in this paper have been deposited in the GenBank database (accession nos. AY870256–AY870268 and AY872256–AY872257).

[†]To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: jdh25@u.washington.edu.

© 2005 by The National Academy of Sciences of the USA




Fig. 1. Comparative analysis of IgT in teleost fish. (A) Amino acid alignment of the rainbow trout (*Onmy*) IgT and zebrafish (*Dare*) IgZ C domains. C regions (C_H) are based on cDNA and germ-line sequences for rainbow trout. Cysteine and tryptophan residues typical of the Ig fold are in boldface type. Potential N-linked glycosylation sites are underlined, and residues differing between the *IgT* duplicates are shown immediately under the *IgT.1* sequence. * denotes identity, and – indicates gaps. S, T, and Y residues found in the transmembrane region typical of associating with B cell coreceptors CD79A/B are in boldface type. (B) CDR3 junctions for three IgT VDJ rearrangements.

with scaffold 3494, encodes an isotype composed of two C domains. Analysis of the recent tetraodon assembly, however, including GenScan analysis of chromosome 3 (position 8.15 Mbp) that houses the μ and δ genes, yielded inconclusive results for the presence of a τ -like gene. Recently, however, a likely zebrafish *IgT* orthologue (*IgZ*, AY643750), which displays 59% amino acid similarity to IgT (Fig. 1), has been deposited in GenBank. The group working on IgZ also deposited a trout EST sequence (GenBank accession no. AY773715, 80% amino acid identity) that represents a distant allele to our *IgT* clones. AY773715 is from an EST library (20) derived from a Kamloop (BC, Canada) strain of rainbow trout, representing an unrelated strain, because the OSU-142 homozygous rainbow trout were developed from a strain from Lake Shasta, CA.

Cysteine and tryptophan residues required for the Ig fold (21) are found in all four rainbow trout C _{τ} domains, but the C _{ζ} domain differs in that the first cysteine is found in the position normally occupied by tryptophan. The additional cysteine within the C_{H1} domain of IgT and IgZ (Cys-13 and Cys-14, respectively) implies that these molecules can associate with light chain. However, the highest level of amino acid identity (80%) was found among the τ and ζ transmembrane regions. The transmembrane region contains residues typical of B cell receptors, including hydrophobic and hydrophilic residues consistent with the CART domain and Thr, Ser, and Tyr residues known to be essential for association with the B cell coreceptors CD79A/B (22). The short secretory tails of both IgT and IgZ contain a single Cys residue and several acidic amino acids. Pathogen neutralization provided by mucosal immunity is an essential first line of defense for vertebrates. This neutralization is carried out by the polymeric IgA isotype, and comparative analysis has determined that the PX₃NXS/TL/VX₄E/DX₄CY motif is typically required for multimeric polymerization, where the N-

linked glycosylation site (underlined) and penultimate cysteine are critical for association of the J chain (23, 24). IgT contains an N-linked glycosylation site near the end of C_{H4} and a Cys residue in the secretory tail, but overall, this region bears little similarity to the motif required for J-chain association. In addition, IgT lacks the obvious hinge features typical of IgA, but interestingly, there are five Pro residues near the C_{H1} and -2 junction, suggesting that this region may be flexible. The third isotype of *Xenopus* (25, 26), IgX, is considered to be an IgA analog because IgX plasma cells represent \approx 50% of total Ig-producing lymphocytes in the gut, and IgX forms polymers as large as IgM but, similar to IgT, the secretory domain of IgX shows little resemblance to the J-chain motif, aside from ending with Cys-Tyr. Only through biochemical analysis will the function and polymerization potential of IgT be determined.

Phylogenetic Assessment of the τ Gene. Our initial analysis of GenBank by using *IgT* (TBLASTN) revealed the presence of likely orthologs in zebrafish and Atlantic salmon. BLASTP domain-by-domain comparison of the C regions displayed intriguing features of the τ lineage. Significant matches (amino acid identity) were as follows: C_{H1} salmonid μ (52%), C_{H2} zebrafish ζ (34%), and surprisingly, vertebrate Ig light chains (33%), C_{H3} shark and NAR C_{H2} and -4 (28%), zebrafish ζ (27%), C_{H4} *Xenopus* μ and pig γ 1 (32%), and zebrafish ζ (36%). Because antibody isotypes contain various numbers of Ig C domains, ranging from two to seven (not including intraspecies-duplicated domains, as found in teleost δ), we performed a systematic phylogenetic analysis of individual Ig C domains to reveal potential relationships to the τ lineage (Fig. 2). δ lineages were not compared because they showed low amino acid identity to τ . As shown in Fig. 2A, the phylogenetic analysis of C_{H1}, a domain required for light-chain association, indicates that rainbow trout τ C_{H1} forms a tight




Fig. 2. Phylogenetic relationships for individual vertebrate IgH C domains; individual Ig C domains were aligned by using CLUSTALW, and trees were generated by using the neighbor-joining method, with genetic distances obtained by Poisson correction (scale bar below the tree). (A) C_{H1} domain. (B) C_{H3} domain. GenBank accession nos. follow. IgA: duck, U27222; chicken, S40610; human, BAC85198; and rabbit, X82116. IgE: rat, AAA41365; sheep, M84356; and horse, U15150. IgG: rabbit, K00752; sheep, X69797; and mouse, J00453. IgY: axolotl, X69492; and *Xenopus*, X15114. NAR and IgW: nurse shark NAR, U18701 and sandbar shark IgW, U40560. IgX: *Xenopus*, X13779. IgM: skate, M35185; shark, Y00840; *Xenopus*, J03631; Atlantic salmon, S48652; catfish, M27230; trout, AY870256; and zebrafish, CA111475. IgT/Z: rainbow trout, AY870265; Atlantic salmon, TC29571; and zebrafish, AY643750.

clade with the teleost μ lineage, as supported by high bootstrap values. In support of this finding, trout μ and τ C_{H1} domains share 51% amino acid identity, implying a close relationship with the salmonid μ lineage. The zebrafish ζ C_{H1} domain lies within the greater μ clade, but its relationship is difficult to resolve because bootstrap values for this branch were <50%. C_{H2} analysis (see Fig. 6, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site) confirms a relationship between the τ and μ lineages, because both τ and ζ cluster with elasmobranch μ C_{H2}. τ and ζ display 34% identity and an average identity of 25% to both shark and skate μ C_{H2}. For both the C_{H3} and C_{H4} analyses, a partial Atlantic salmon IgT EST was included to provide resolving power for the phylogenetic analyses because these domains showed the highest degree of divergence through BLASTP analysis. Interestingly, the τ and ζ C_{H3} genes formed a distinct clade adjacent to NAR C_{H2} and shark IgW C_{H3} domains (Fig. 2B), whereas the trout τ C_{H3} sequence exhibits \approx 26% identity and \approx 40% amino acid similarity with these two elasmobranch genes. Within the τ/ζ clade, trout and salmon τ displayed 85% identity, whereas τ and ζ C_{H3} were only 27% identical. Finally, the phylogenetic relationship of vertebrate IgH C_{H4} domains indicates that the τ/ζ clade is unique and that the nearest adjacent clades, representing teleost IgM and amphibian IgY (IgG analogs) (27), are separated by considerable genetic distance (see Fig. 7, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site). Taken together, these results imply that the τ lineage displays some homology to the teleost μ lineage but most likely represents a distinct IgH lineage in teleosts.

Features of the IgT VDJ Region. From analysis of full-length IgT clones, it was clear that all three rainbow trout IgH isotypes use the standard 11 V_H families. Our initial analysis showed that two different V_H genes (from V_H8 and -11) (18) were used by both IgM and IgT clones within the cDNA library that was derived from a single homozygous trout. The other full-length and partial clones for IgT represented three additional V_H families from

trout, making a total of five V_H families represented within this initial survey of IgT V_H diversity. VDJ recombination generates the third complementarity-determining region (CDR3) that is known to have an important function in antigen recognition. Although the trout IgH isotypes use the same V_H families, IgT uses different D and J segments to generate the IgT CDR3. Trout IgM CDR3s are compact, with an average size of 4–5 aa generated by both VDJ recombination and P and N nucleotide addition (28), whereas our initial inspection of three IgT clones found that they display a CDR3 range of 5–10 aa (Fig. 1B), based on three clones derived from the *IgH.1* locus. In addition, comparative modeling suggests an extended CDR3 loop for IgT clone 8 that is reminiscent of the large CDR3 loops associated with NAR (29) (data not shown). Retention and usage of a broad size range for the IgT CDR3 is likely beneficial for the secretory immune response because a diverse range of epitopes could be recognized.

The *IgH.1* Locus in Rainbow Trout. The murine *IgH* locus encodes five different Ig isotypes with the following genomic organization: V_n-D_n-J₄-(S)C _{μ} -C _{δ} -(S)C _{γ 3}-(S)C _{γ 1}-(S)C _{γ 2b}-(S)C _{γ 2a}-(S)C _{ϵ} -(S)C _{α} . The C _{μ} and C _{δ} genes are joined to recombined VDJ genes by RNA processing, whereas genes located further downstream are joined to the functional VDJ segment through a process known as class-switch recombination, involving intrachromosomal deletional rearrangement focused on regions of repetitive-switch DNA found upstream of each C_H-chain region (30). The genomic organization of IgH genes in elasmobranchs is quite different from the mammalian organization because repeated blocks of joined and nonjoined VDJ and C_H occur in what is termed the multicuster arrangement (VDJ-C)_n. IgH genes in teleosts possess a gene organization similar to that of higher vertebrates (translocon configuration), whereas the Ig light-chain genes are of the multicuster type; thus, bony fish possess a chimeric organization for the H- and L-chain genes (2).

To investigate the IgH genomic architecture in trout, an




Fig. 3. Genomic organization of the rainbow trout and zebrafish *IgH* loci. Two major sequence contigs (107 and 14 kbp) resulted for the trout *IgH.1* locus, which have been merged into a single contig within the δ gene. The three trout V_H genes are members of the V_{H2} , -8, and -5 families, respectively (18). The zebrafish locus (≈ 75 kbp) is based on ENSEMBL supercontigs ctg14038, ctg1404, and ctg14057 and BAC sequences BX649502 and BX510335. ψ , pseudogenes; R, repetitive regions; \rightarrow , transcriptional orientation.

OSU-142 BAC library was screened by using a mixture of μ -, δ -, and τ -exon-specific probes. Thirteen IgH^+ BAC clones were identified through PCR analysis and were found to be comprised of one μ single-positive, six μ/δ double-positives, three μ/τ double-positives, two τ single-positives, and a single triple-positive clone. This latter clone, OnmyBAC-*IgH.1*, was completely sequenced, resulting in two major contigs of 107 and 14 kbp. The physical map of OnmyBAC-*IgH.1* (Fig. 3 Upper), starting at the 5' end, is composed of a proximal V_H gene, a retroposon element, and a V_H pseudogene followed by three *IgH* D and two J elements and four exons encoding the *IgT* C domains. These particular D and J elements are the ones used to build up a complete *IgT* gene and possess standard recombination-signal sequences (RSSs). As mentioned previously, CDR3 regions are larger for *IgT* than for *IgM*. One factor to account for this difference is that the first D_τ element is 37 bp long (open in all three reading frames), of which 27 bp are used within *IgT* clone 8 (Fig. 1B). D_H elements are typically 12–16 nucleotides long. The second and third D_τ genes are typical in size (D_{H2} , 13 bp and D_{H3} , 15 bp). Over 30% of the *IgT* cDNA clones were represented as sterile transcripts ($JC_\tau 1C_\tau 2C_\tau 3C_\tau Asec$), such as *IgT* clone 15 (GenBank accession no. AY870266). Clone 15 initiates between D_{H3} and J_{H1} , and the ORF begins with a methionine codon within the RSS of τJ_{H1} . Sterile transcripts were also found for trout *IgD* (GenBank accession no. AY870262) and have also been noted for trout *IgL* (31). Unlike teleost *IgM*, in which the membrane-bound form is generated by splicing the TM segment to the end of μ exon 3, the membrane version of *IgT* is generated by splicing to a cryptic splice site near the very end of τ exon 4, thus retaining all four *Ig* C domains for both the secreted and membrane-bound versions of *IgT*, similar to that of *IgM* from amphibians, birds, and mammals (2). Between the τ TM exons and the two additional V_H genes, GENSCAN analysis detected an intact transposable element of the piggyBac class (Fig. 3 Upper). Coupled with other retroposon-like short interspersed nuclear elements (SINEs) and repetitive elements, these elements may have contributed to the architecture of the rainbow trout *IgH* locus. The position of the second and third V_H genes implies that they are used by *IgM*, and given the reverse orientation of the second V_H gene, it is likely used by means of an inversion mechanism. Finally, the last portion of the trout *IgH.1* locus encodes six D_H and five J_H elements (all with standard RSSs) that are used by the μ and δ genes.

We were unable to fully join OnmyBAC-*IgH.1* into a single contig because of the presence of a repetitive element (≈ 900 bp) that has a core motif of TATAACAGTAGCGAGGC₂₇ and is located between the $\delta 2B$ (terminus of the major contig) and $\delta 3B$ exons. The second contig encodes the $\delta 3B$, $\delta 4B$, $\delta 2C$ (not present in Atlantic salmon), and $\delta 7$ C domains as well as the two transmembrane domains for *IgD*, but remote BLASTN/X analysis of all shotgun clones ($>2,600$ clones) was negative for exons resembling teleost $\delta 5$ or $\delta 6$. Given the position of the unique repetitive element and the presence of several repetitive elements (SINEs and *Tc1* elements) between $\delta 2C$ and $\delta 7$, $\delta 5$ and $\delta 6$

were likely deleted from this locus. Overall, the trout and zebrafish *IgH* loci (Fig. 3 Upper) are quite similar in size, content, and organization, thus providing support for the supposition that τ and ζ are likely orthologous genes.

The *AID* gene is essential for class-switch recombination and somatic hypermutation of *Ig* genes (30). Because an *AID* homolog has been reported in catfish (32), the question was raised whether class-switch recombination of the trout *Ig* isotypes was possible, but bioinformatic and manual sequence analysis did not reveal any obvious repetitive regions similar to those for amphibian, avian, or mammalian switch regions (27, 33). Our analysis of cDNA clones indicated that at least some of the trout *IgH* locus has been duplicated, given the presence of duplicated versions of the τ and δ genes from a single homozygous trout. To formally test this hypothesis, we physically mapped four of the *IgH*-positive BAC clones, including OnmyBAC-*IgH.1*, by using *in situ* hybridization on trout chromosomal spreads. All BAC clones hybridized with the short and long arm of chromosomes 1 and 12, respectively (see Fig. 8, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site), thus supporting the hypothesis that trout possess two *IgH* loci.

Expression of *IgH* Isotypes in Naïve Tissues. The tissue distribution for all three *IgH* isotypes was examined by Northern blot and RT-PCR analysis. In trout, the thymus and pronephros are the primary lymphoid tissues because they express key markers involved in VDJ recombination, namely Rag and TdT (34). The trout spleen is the major secondary lymphoid-organ tissue




Fig. 4. Tissue-specific expression of *IgM*, *IgD*, and *IgT* in naïve rainbow trout. (A) Northern blot analysis (12 μ g) by using RNA from 1-yr-old rainbow trout (Clear Springs strain). Th, thymus; Pn, pronephros; Mn, mesonephros; Sp, spleen; Lv, liver; Int, intestine; Mu, muscle; H, heart; Ts, testis. OSU-142 (OSU) and Hot Creek (HC) spleen samples. (B) RT-PCR analysis of *IgM* and *IgT* in select tissues. Hrt, heart; Ms, mesonephros; E1, day-12 embryo; E2, day-22 embryo; YS, yolk sac fry; Pbl, peripheral blood lymphocytes.

involved in antigen processing and is a major source of B cells and MHC class II expression (35). Northern blot analysis (Fig. 4A) demonstrates that rainbow trout μ , δ , and τ genes are primarily expressed within the spleen, pronephros, and mesonephros, with weak expression noted in the thymus and heart after prolonged exposures. Overall, *IgM* is expressed at the highest levels, followed by *IgD* and *IgT*. A single highly expressed transcript is present for *IgM*, whereas two mRNA transcripts are observed for trout *IgD*. The shorter transcript for *IgD* (3 kb) is expressed at higher levels, compared with the 3.8-kb transcript, and corresponds with the size of trout full-length *IgD* clones 1 and 17. Two transcripts are also present for *IgT*, corresponding to the sizes of the membrane-bound (2.1 kb) and secreted (2.4 kb) versions of *IgT*. A similar pattern of expression for *IgT* was observed for the splenic samples derived from two different clonal lines of trout.

For a more sensitive analysis, we then examined the expression of *IgM* and *IgT* by RT-PCR (Fig. 4B). Ontogenically, Rag1 and -2 expression commence at ≈ 10 d postfertilization in trout with the first sIgM⁺ (surface IgM) B cells occurring in the pronephros at 4 d posthatch (34). Typically, the embryonic period from fertilization to hatching in trout is 25–26 d at 12°C. A major event during B cell development is the rearrangement and expression of IgH during the transition from the progenitor to the pre-B cell stage before IgL chain rearrangement and expression. In the present study, we demonstrate that neither *IgM* nor *IgT* C regions are expressed within day-12 embryos, but both are expressed, albeit at different levels, within embryos 4 d before hatching and within yolk-sac fry (3 d posthatch), before the presence of sIgM⁺ B cells in the pronephros. Thus, both isotypes are expressed early and throughout trout development. Both genes are also expressed in the thymus, intestine, and heart, but muscle is negative. The expression of both genes in the intestine is relatively weak, but our analysis was carried out with naïve trout, and expression is likely different during an immune response. Finally, Ficoll-purified peripheral blood lymphocytes highly express *IgM* message and modest levels of *IgT* mRNA, thus the expression in the heart is most likely due to circulating B cells. Salmonid blood is a rich source of leukocytes ($>1-2 \times 10^7$ per milliliter), and FACS analysis demonstrates that

25–35% of the lymphoid gate is composed of sIgM⁺ B cells (data not shown). Thus, given the amount of total lymphocytes in blood and the expression of *IgT*, IgT⁺ B cells likely represent a small but significant pool of B cells.

In summary, we have provided information about a unique IgH isotype that we have named IgT, for teleost fish. Phylogenetic analysis suggests a possible relationship of the τ C-region gene with the fish μ lineage, but it is clear that τ represents a divergent IgH isotype whose role in the trout immune response awaits further investigation. A second major feature of the analysis was the discovery that the τ locus possesses its own set of D and J elements, and the position of these elements, along with the τ gene upstream of μ , provides an *IgH* genomic architecture that has not been seen in any other vertebrate class. In addition, by analyzing a single homozygous trout, we conclusively showed that the *IgD* and *IgT* genes are duplicated in rainbow trout, a feature that is likely because of the tetraploid ancestry of all salmonid fish. Finally, we hypothesize that, given the architecture of the trout and zebrafish *IgH* loci and the absence of obvious switch regions in trout, progenitor B cells, through epigenetic factors, likely become committed to either IgT or IgM lineages during B cell development, in a process similar to that of T cell lineage commitment.

Note. While this paper was under review at PNAS, Danilova *et al.* (36) reported their analysis of an isotype, IgZ, in zebrafish. Similar to the findings presented here, zebrafish *IgZ* and *IgM* do not share D and J elements, implying that IgT and IgZ are distinct B cell receptors compared to IgM. However, in adult zebrafish, *IgZ* is limited to the primary lymphoid tissue, whereas *IgT* is expressed in a variety of trout tissues, suggesting functional differences between the IgT and IgZ isotypes. Finally, phylogenetic analysis indicates an orthologous relationship for *IgZ* and *IgT*, but whether they are truly functional orthologues awaits further experimentation.

We thank Nil Ratan Saha and Louis Du Pasquier for their comments on this manuscript and Li Li and Sara Ho (Lark Technologies, Houston) for their assistance with the BAC assembly. This work was supported in part by U.S. Department of Agriculture National Research Initiative Competitive Grants Program (NRICGP) Grant 2004-05635 and National Science Foundation Grant MCB-0453924 (to J.D.H.).

1. Eason, D. D., Cannon, J. P., Haire, R. N., Rast, J. P., Ostrov, D. A. & Litman, G. W. (2004) *Semin. Immunol.* **16**, 215–226.
2. Flajnik, M. F. (2002) *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **2**, 688–698.
3. Wilson, M., Bengten, E., Miller, N. W., Clem, L. W., Du Pasquier, L. & Warr, G. W. (1997) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **94**, 4593–4597.
4. Hordvik, I., Thevarajan, J., Samdal, I., Bastani, N. & Krossoy, B. (1999) *Scand. J. Immunol.* **50**, 202–210.
5. Bernstein, R. M., Schluter, S. F., Shen, S. & Marchalonis, J. J. (1996) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **93**, 3289–3293.
6. Greenberg, A. S., Hughes, A. L., Guo, J., Avila, D., McKinney, E. C. & Flajnik, M. F. (1996) *Eur. J. Immunol.* **26**, 1123–1129.
7. Greenberg, A. S., Avila, D., Hughes, M., Hughes, A., McKinney, E. C. & Flajnik, M. F. (1995) *Nature* **374**, 168–173.
8. Young, W. P., Wheeler, P. A., Fields, R. D. & Thorgaard, G. H. (1996) *J. Hered.* **87**, 77–80.
9. Phillips, R. B., Zimmerman, A., Noakes, M. A., Palti, Y., Morasch, M. R., Eiben, L., Ristow, S. S., Thorgaard, G. H. & Hansen, J. D. (2003) *Immunogenetics* **55**, 561–569.
10. Ewing, B. & Green, P. (1998) *Genome Res.* **8**, 186–194.
11. Gordon, D., Abajian, C. & Green, P. (1998) *Genome Res.* **8**, 195–202.
12. Hansen, J. D., Strassburger, P., Thorgaard, G. H., Young, W. P. & Du Pasquier, L. (1999) *J. Immunol.* **163**, 774–786.
13. Kumar, S., Tamura, K., Jakobsen, I. B. & Nei, M. (2001) *Bioinformatics* **17**, 1244–1245.
14. Lee, M. A., Bengten, E., Daggfeldt, A., Rytting, A. S. & Pilstrom, L. (1993) *Mol. Immunol.* **30**, 641–648.
15. Hansen, J., Leong, J. A. & Kaattari, S. (1994) *Mol. Immunol.* **31**, 499–501.
16. Hordvik, I., Berven, F. S., Solem, S. T., Hatten, F. & Endresen, C. (2002) *Mol. Immunol.* **39**, 313–321.
17. Bengten, E., Quiniou, S. M., Stuge, T. B., Katagiri, T., Miller, N. W., Clem, L. W., Warr, G. W. & Wilson, M. (2002) *J. Immunol.* **169**, 2488–2497.
18. Roman, T., Andersson, E., Bengten, E., Hansen, J., Kaattari, S., Pilstrom, L., Charlemagne, J. & Matsunaga, T. (1996) *Immunogenetics* **43**, 325–326.
19. Saha, N. R., Suetake, H., Kikuchi, K. & Suzuki, Y. (2004) *Immunogenetics* **56**, 438–447.
20. Rexroad, C. E., III, Lee, Y., Keele, J. W., Karamycheva, S., Brown, G., Koop, B., Gahr, S. A., Palti, Y. & Quackenbush, J. (2003) *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* **102**, 347–354.
21. Lesk, A. M. & Chothia, C. (1982) *J. Mol. Biol.* **160**, 325–342.
22. Campbell, K. S., Backstrom, B. T., Tiefenthaler, G. & Palmer, E. (1994) *Semin. Immunol.* **6**, 393–410.
23. Yoo, E. M., Coloma, M. J., Trinh, K. R., Nguyen, T. Q., Vuong, L. U., Morrison, S. L. & Chintalacheruvu, K. R. (1999) *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**, 33771–33777.
24. Wiersma, E. J., Chen, F., Bazin, R., Collins, C., Painter, R. H., Lemieux, R. & Shulman, M. J. (1997) *J. Immunol.* **158**, 1719–1726.
25. Hsu, E., Flajnik, M. F. & Du Pasquier, L. (1985) *J. Immunol.* **135**, 1998–2004.
26. Mussmann, R., Du Pasquier, L. & Hsu, E. (1996) *Eur. J. Immunol.* **26**, 2823–2830.
27. Du Pasquier, L., Robert, J., Courtet, M. & Mussmann, R. (2000) *Immunol. Rev.* **175**, 201–213.
28. Roman, T., De Guerra, A. & Charlemagne, J. (1995) *Eur. J. Immunol.* **25**, 269–273.
29. Roux, K. H., Greenberg, A. S., Greene, L., Strelets, L., Avila, D., McKinney, E. C. & Flajnik, M. F. (1998) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **95**, 11804–11809.
30. Honjo, T., Muramatsu, M. & Fagarasan, S. (2004) *Immunity* **20**, 659–668.
31. Daggfeldt, A., Bengten, E. & Pilstrom, L. (1993) *Immunogenetics* **38**, 199–209.
32. Saunders, H. L. & Magor, B. G. (2004) *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* **28**, 657–663.
33. Lundqvist, M. L., Middleton, D. L., Hazard, S. & Warr, G. W. (2001) *J. Biol. Chem.* **276**, 46729–46736.
34. Hansen, J. D. & Zapata, A. G. (1998) *Immunol. Rev.* **166**, 199–220.
35. Ohta, Y., Landis, E., Boulay, T., Phillips, R. B., Collet, B., Secombes, C. J., Flajnik, M. F. & Hansen, J. D. (2004) *J. Immunol.* **173**, 4553–4560.
36. Danilova, N., Bussmann, J., Jekosch, K. & Steiner, L. A. (2005) *Nat. Immunol.* **6**, 295–302.